# Using the CogAT <br> Ability X Achievement Tool 



## Using the CogAT

## Ability X Achievement (AXA) Tool

- The following pages explain how to view and use the data analysis provided in the CogAT Ability by Achievement Excel file
- Please note that the analysis tools only works with Excel in MS 365
- Earlier versions of Excel (such as Excel 2019 Professional Plus) will allow you to load data but will not complete the macro-enabled data analysis
- The macro functionality on the charting and analysis pages relies on the dynamic array feature, found only in MS Office 365 and later


## To view the charted data, click on CogAT vs Score Dashboard



| File Home Insert Page Layout | Formuls Data eview |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s |  |

## Click on Data and Refresh All in the Excel menu

## If you get a message about "Formula References", simply click OK




Click on Data and Refresh All in the Excel menu

- Data will initially chart for all students, all subjects, all grades, and all buildings that were loaded in your data - it must be filtered down for the analysis to have meaning.
- Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your analyses to a single subject area within a single grade to review the trends and differences in student ability and achievement
- Due to differences in scaling, multiple grades and subjects should not be charted together


## CogAT vs Test Score

Home
Actual Vs Expected Score Dashboard



## Configure your data view by using the filter pane on the left:

- Subject* (Achievement score)
- Building - Class
- Gender - Ethnic Group
- Grade* • Program Code
* Use the filter pane to choose only ONE Grade and ONE Subject to display at a time

This chart now displays the trend line* for Reading score (Y axis) by CogAT Verbal score (X axis) for all $2^{\text {nd }}$ graders included in the analysis

* The trend line is based only on the students included in your sample and filter selections and does not reflect the national distribution of scores


Reading by $\operatorname{Cog} A T$ Verbal is determined by the subject area typed into the CogAT data sheet


- Achievement is charted on the vertical Y axis and CogAT ability scores are shown on the horizontal X axis
- A trend line* is calculated based on the scores included in your sample and your filter selections - Reading and Verbal for all buildings, in this example
* The trend line is based only on the students included in your sample and filter selections and does not reflect the national distribution of scores

```
y=0.7706x+102.62
```



CogAT

- Change the subject area by choosing another option on the filter pane
- Choose a single building - or multiple buildings - by clicking on the building name(s) in the filter pane
- This example shows Math and CogAT Quantitative at Adams Elementary
- A new trend line is calculated based only to Math in the Adams Elementary building

CogAT vs Test Score
$y=0.8504 x+89.891$

Home


Find a specific student by hovering over a dot

| Student CogAT Score | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Student Achievement Score | 164 |

Student ID
Student Name
Wrong Entry
Wrong Entry

## Test Score

The student's scores are shown in parentheses (Ability, Achievement)

- The student's CogAT Verbal ability score is shown first in the parentheses - 95
- The student's Reading achievement score is shown second - 207

CogAT vs Test Score
Enter the
corresponding
scores $(95,207)$ in
the blue boxes
above the graph

# The student's ID number and name will 

 populate in the pink boxes to the rightStudent ID
Student Name

101855
FName1855 LName1855

# View score distributions by clicking on the Score Bell Curves* tab 

- Data will initially chart for all students, all subjects, all grades, and all buildings that were included in your data - it must be filtered down for the chart to have meaning
- Due to differences in scaling, multiple grades and subjects should not be charted together

* The charted distributions are based on the students and filter selections included in your analysis and do not reflect the national distribution of scores

- Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your distributions to a single subject area within a single grade to review the distributions of student ability and achievement
- Subject* (Achievement score)
- Building - Class
- Gender
- Ethnic Group
- Grade*
- Program Code
* Use the filter pane to choose only ONE Grade and ONE Subject to display at a time


# This view shows Grade 2 Math for all buildings in the sample 



Each distribution graph has blue boxes above it to input values for the Min X Axis to bound the graph on the left and the Max X Axis to bound the graph on the right

- The CogAT distribution for all scores is best represented by a minimum of 50 and maximum of 160
- Enter these values in the blue boxes and click CUSTOM


This Math Achievement distribution is centered around 170 with a minimum near 140 and a maximum at 250

- For this example, we will input 130 as the Min X Axis and 260 as the Max X Axis in the blue boxes
- Enter the new values and click CUSTOM to edit the chart view


| Min X axis | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max X axis | 300 |  |
| Custom | Achievement Normal Distribution | Reset |


| Min X axis | 130 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max X axis | 260 |  |
| Custom | Achievement Normal Distribution | Reset |

- The revised chart provides a more interpretable view of the distribution

| Min X axis | 130 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Max X axis | 260 |

Custom Achievement Normal Distribution Reset

## The shape of the distributions is more balanced and after adjusting the Min and Max axes for each chart



# Examine distributions for different scores, buildings, and groups of students by using the filter pane on the left 



# Using the same Axis settings determined based on all students, now use the filter pane to compare distributions in different buildings 

CogAT Quantitative and Math for all $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade students in the sample

CogAT Quantitative and Math for $2^{\text {nd }}$ graders in the ADAMS building - this building performs slightly worse than the district average as shown by the leftward shift of the distributions




- To find out who those high performing students are in each building, return to the CogAT vs Score Dashboard tab
- Filter for Math in the Adams building and hover over the point corresponding to the student with the highest score in Math

- Enter the student's scores into the blue boxes in the upper lefthand side and the student's name and ID will populate in the pink boxes to the right
- Ability is the first score in the parentheses - 123
- Math Achievement is the second score in the parentheses - 216

Student CogAT Score
Student Achievement Score

Student ID
Student Name

- The high scoring Math student in the Adams building is ID 101855 and has a pretend first and last name in the sample, corresponding to their ID number
- This student also had the highest CogAT Quantitative score, 123, as shown on the trend line chart

The same student, \#101855, scored highest in Reading in the Adams building, but their $\operatorname{Cog} A T$ Verbal score was not the highest in the building


In the Washington building, student \# 101001 achieved the highest scores for both Math and Reading and on the CogAT Verbal and Quantitative sections


# You can view tabled data by student by clicking on the Actual vs Expected Score Dashboard 



- Change the percentage in the blue box to see which students are performing higher or lower than expected based on that group's trend line
- Expectations are created based on the group(s) included in your analysis, and not on national samples of CogAT and achievement
－Like every other display，this sheet will initially default to include data for all students，all subjects，all grades，and all buildings that were loaded in your data－it must be filtered down for the analysis to have meaning．
－Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your analyses to a single subject area within a single grade to review the trends and differences in student ability and achievement
－Due to differences in scaling，multiple grades and subjects should not be analyzed together

Actual Score Vs Expected Score

| Math |  | Reading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building |  | シニ |  |
| Adams Elem |  | Chavez Elem | $\wedge$ |
| Jefferson Elem |  | Kennedy Elem |  |
| Student Gender |  | 決 $\Gamma^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| F |  | M |  |
| Student Grade |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| Class |  | シニ | 2 |
| Amir | Gault | Gunde．．． | $\wedge$ |
| Joseph | Juarez | Karal |  |
| Nollw | Vion | Monuio |  | The below list is for students who achieved an actual score less than expected score by target $\%$

List of Sudents

[^0]FName1424 LName1424

| Student ID | CogAT Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100066 | 91 |
| 100157 | 124 |
| 100187 | 142 |
| 100258 | 115 |
| 100289 | 115 |
| 100404 | 107 |
| 100532 | 97 |
| 100557 | 127 |
| 100610 | 110 |
| 100644 | 131 |
| 100699 | 131 |
| 100751 | 96 |
| 100869 | 121 |
| 100951 | 121 |
| 100998 | 100 |
| 101105 | 117 |
| 101148 | 117 |
| 101157 | 108 |
| 101424 | 100 |


| Actual Score |
| :---: |
| 151 |
| 175 |
| 191 |
| 168 |
| 167 |
| 164 |
| 156 |
| 178 |
| 169 |
| 187 |
| 178 |
| 156 |
| 177 |
| 172 |
| 156 |
| 175 |
| 173 |
| 168 |
| 161 |


| Expected Score |
| :---: |
| 171 |
| 202 |
| 219 |
| 194 |
| 194 |
| 186 |
| 177 |
| 205 |
| 189 |
| 208 |
| 208 |
| 176 |
| 199 |
| 199 |
| 180 |
| 195 |
| 195 |
| 187 |
| 180 |



## Configure your data view by using the filter pane on the left:

- Subject* (Achievement score)
- Building
- Gender
- Grade*
* Use the filter pane to choose only ONE Grade and ONE Subject to display at a time
- Now that the sheet is filtered to one subject area and one grade level, student scores can be examined relative to the local trend
- Looking at $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade Math across all buildings, the sheet produces a list of students whose Math scores are higher than the district trend predicts based on student CogAT Quantitative and Math scores



# Now that the sheet is filtered to one subject area and one grade level, student scores can be examined relative to the local trend 

Actual Score Vs Expected Score


- Inputting a positive percentage in the blue box displays students who had higher than expected achievement based on their CogAT score and the group's Math trend

List of Suden

Student Name
FName938 LName938
FName1855 LName1855
FName2666 LName2666

Student ID
100938
101855
102666

CogAT Score
68
95
59

Actual Score
Expected Score

- Inputting a negative percentage in the blue box displays students who performed lower than expected based on their CogAT score and the group's Math trend
- Don't forget to name your files carefully and save your work frequently
- Use file names that help you identify which data are contained therein
- Your comments and feedback are welcome!


[^0]:    Student Name FName66 LName66 FName157 LName157 FName187 LName187 FName258 LName258 FName289 LName289 FName404 LName404 FName532 LName532 FName557 LName557 FName610 LName610 FName644 LName644 FName699 LName699 FName751 LName751 FName869 LName869 FName951 LName951 FName998 LName998 FName 1105 LName 1105 FName1148 LName1148 FName1157 LName1157

