

## Using the CogAT Ability X Achievement Tool



## Using the CogAT Ability X Achievement (AXA) Tool

- The following pages explain how to view and use the data analysis provided in the CogAT Ability by Achievement Excel file
- Please note that the analysis tools only works with Excel in MS 365
  - Earlier versions of Excel (such as Excel 2019 Professional Plus) will allow you to load data but will not complete the macro-enabled data analysis
  - The macro functionality on the charting and analysis pages relies on the dynamic array feature, found only in MS Office 365 and later

### To view the charted data, click on **CogAT vs Score Dashboard**

			Clea	<b>.</b>	law Data					
Home	Cog	AT vs Score Das	shboard		Actual Vs Expected Sc	ore Dashboard		Scores Bell	l Curves	1
Raw Data	CogAT Data									L
Student ID 🔻 Student Fir	rst Name 💌 🛛 Student Last Name 💌	Buidling 💌	Class	Ŧ	Student Grade 💌 Student Gender 💌	Program Code 💌	Student Ethnic Group 🔻	Subject 💌	Test Score 💌	CogAT Sc
100001 FName1	LName1	Building 3	GRADE 2		2 F	EL	Black	Reading	177	86
100002 FName2	LName2	Building 33	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	White	Reading	218	115
100003 FName3	LName3	Building 18	GRADE 2		2 M	EL	Black	Reading	181	101
100004 FName4	LName4	Building 12	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Black	Reading	189	100
100005 FName5	LName5	Building 15	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Asian	Reading	178	90
100006 FName6	LName6	Building 29	GRADE 2		2 F	EL	Black	Reading	173	93
100007 FName7	LName7	Building 3	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	Black	Reading	178	95
100008 FName8	LName8	Building 16	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	White	Reading	187	116
100009 FName9	LName9	Building 4	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	Black	Reading	191	125
100010 FName10	LName10	Building 40	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	White	Reading	168	95
100011 FName11	LName11	Building 21	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Hispanic	Reading	191	114
100012 FName12	LName12	Building 33	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Hispanic	Reading	185	106
100013 FName13	LName13	Building 10	GRADE 2		2 F	EL	Hispanic	Reading	165	82
100014 FName14	LName14	Building 17	GRADE 2		2 M	EL	Asian	Reading	161	72
100015 FName15	LName15	Building 2	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	Black	Reading	189	98
100016 FName16	LName16	Building 33	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	Hispanic	Reading	173	109
100017 FName17	LName17	Building 28	GRADE 2		2 M	EL	Hispanic	Reading	154	79
100018 FName18	LName18	Building 33	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Black	Reading	169	113
100019 FName19	LName19	Building 19	GRADE 2		2 F	NonEL	Hispanic	Reading	158	94
100020 FName20	LName20	Building 38	GRADE 2		2 M	NonEL	Hispanic	Reading	181	106



Click on **Data** and **Refresh All** in the Excel menu

### If you get a message about "Formula References", simply click **OK**

-		CogAT vs Te	est Score
Home		CogAT vs Score Dashboard	Actual Vs Expected Score Dashboard
Subject	¥∃ \×	S Microsoft Excel	× udent ID
(blank)		Excel found a problem with one or more formula references in this worksheet.	lent Name
Building (blank)	× Tr	ОК	
Student Gender (blank)	¥= 🕅		
Student Grade (b	¥≡ 🕅		



- Data will initially chart for all students, all subjects, all grades, and all buildings that were loaded in your data – it must be filtered down for the analysis to have meaning.
- Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your analyses to a <u>single subject</u> area within a <u>single</u> <u>grade</u> to review the trends and differences in student ability and achievement
- Due to differences in scaling, multiple grades and subjects should not be charted together

<b>L</b>				CogAT	vs Test Score		
	Home		CogAT vs S	core Dashboard	Actual Vs	Expected Score Dashboard	Scores Bell Curves
Subject		× T	Student CogAT Score	115		Student ID	Wrong Entry
Math	Reading		Student Achievement Sco	ore 164		Student Name	Wrong Entry
Building Adams Elem Jefferson Eler Student Gende F Student Grade	er M		₹ 101	i;,,stegegleg <mark>iegi</mark> elje	Test Sc		Reset           γ=0.9253x+87.135
2							
Class		¥ 🕅	50	70 5		110 130 DgAT	150 170
		nde ^	Min X axis	0		Min Y Axis	0
	luarez Kar		Max X Axis	130		Max Y Axis	300

Но	me	
Subject	5	<b>.</b>
Math	Reading	
Buidling	絙	- Tec
Building 1	Building 10	^
Building 11	Building 12	
Dustatione 12	Родика и	Υ.
Student Gende	r 🚝	<b>.</b>
F	М	
Student Grade	絙	
2		
Class	-	T <sub>x</sub>
GRADE	7=	: %
GRADE		
Student Ethnic	Group 🚝	T <sub>x</sub>
Asian	Black	^
Hispanic	Islander	
Mastur	C.B.S.	$\checkmark$
Program Code		×
EL Nor	nEL	



Configure your data view by using the filter pane on the left:

- Subject\* (Achievement score)
- Building
- Gender
- Grade\*
- Class
- Ethnic Group
- Program Code

### \* Use the filter pane to choose <u>only ONE</u> <u>Grade</u> and <u>ONE Subject</u> to display at a time

This chart now displays the trend line\* for Reading score (Y axis) by *CogAT* Verbal score (X axis) for all 2<sup>nd</sup> graders included in the analysis

\* The trend line is based only on the students included in your sample and filter selections and does not reflect the national distribution of scores



Reading by *CogAT* Verbal is determined by the subject area typed into the *CogAT* data sheet



- Achievement is charted on the vertical Y axis and CogAT ability scores are shown on the horizontal X axis
- A trend line\* is calculated based on the scores included in your sample and your filter selections

   Reading and Verbal for all buildings, in this example

\* The trend line is based only on the students included in your sample and filter selections and does not reflect the national distribution of scores



CogAT

- Change the subject area by choosing another option on the filter pane
- Choose a single building or multiple buildings – by clicking on the building name(s) in the filter pane
- This example shows Math and *CogAT* Quantitative at Adams Elementary
- A new trend line is calculated based only to Math in the Adams Elementary building



### Find a specific student by hovering over a dot



(Ability, Achievement)

- The student's CogAT Verbal ability score is shown first in the parentheses – 95
- The student's Reading achievement score is shown second – 207



# View score distributions by clicking on the **Score Bell Curves\*** tab

- Data will initially chart for all students, all subjects, all grades, and all buildings that were included in your data – it must be filtered down for the chart to have meaning
- Due to differences in scaling, multiple grades and subjects should not be charted together



\* The charted distributions are based on the students and filter selections included in your analysis and do not reflect the national distribution of scores

Но	me		
Subject		归	<b>.</b>
Math	Reading		
Buidling		归	5
Building 1	Building 10	)	^
Building 11	Building 12	2	
D. 3145 - 19	Desileite e da	1	Y
Student Gende	r	2	<b>.</b>
F	М		
Student Grade			- <b>X</b>
2			
Class		狺	<b>*</b>
GRADE			
	-	~	_
Student Ethnic		#=	:5<
Asian	Black		î.
Hispanic	Islander Olisia		<b>v</b>
Program Code	1 // - / - ·	细	
EL Nor	FI	<i>s</i> r'—	: 75

- Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your distributions to a single subject area within a single grade to review the distributions of student ability and achievement
  - Subject\* (Achievement score)
- Building
- Class
- Gender
- Ethnic Group
- Grade\*
- Program Code

\* Use the filter pane to choose <u>only ONE Grade</u> and <u>ONE Subject to</u> display at a time

## This view shows Grade 2 Math for all buildings in the sample



# Use the Min and Max Axis boxes to configure your charts



Each distribution graph has **blue** boxes above it to input values for the Min X Axis to bound the graph on the left and the Max X Axis to bound the graph on the right

- The CogAT distribution for all scores is best represented by a <u>minimum of 50</u> and <u>maximum of 160</u>
- Enter these values in the blue boxes and click CUSTOM



This Math Achievement distribution is centered around 170 with a minimum near 140 and a maximum at 250



• Several students have achieved high math scores

## The shape of the distributions is more balanced and after adjusting the Min and Max axes for each chart



## Examine distributions for different scores, buildings, and groups of students by using the filter pane on the left



## Using the same Axis settings determined based on all students, now use the filter pane to compare distributions in different buildings





*CogAT* **Verbal** and **Reading** for 2<sup>nd</sup> graders in the ADAMS building – this building also performs slightly worse than the district average here as shown by the leftward shift of the distributions

*CogAT* **Verbal** and **Reading** for 2<sup>nd</sup> graders in the WASHINGTON building – this building has a similar distribution to the district distribution





- To find out who those high performing students are in each building, return to the CogAT vs Score Dashboard tab
- Filter for **Math** in the **Adams** building and hover over the point corresponding to the student with the highest score in Math



- Enter the student's scores into the blue boxes in the upper lefthand side and the student's name and ID will populate in the pink boxes to the right
- Ability is the first score in the parentheses 123
- Math Achievement is the second score in the parentheses 216

Student CogAT Score	123	Student ID	101855
Student Achievement Score	216	Student Name	FName1855 LName1855

- The high scoring Math student in the Adams building is ID 101855 and has a pretend first and last name in the sample, corresponding to their ID number
- This student also had the highest CogAT Quantitative score, 123, as shown on the trend line chart

The same student, #101855, scored highest in **Reading** in the **Adams** building, but their *CogAT* Verbal score was not the highest in the building

Home	CogAT vs Score Da	ashboard	Actual Vs Expecte Score Dashboard	Scores Bell Curves
Subject 炎 🗧 🕥	Student CogAT Score	95	St. dent ID	101855
Math	Student Achievement Score	207	Student Name	FName1855 LName1855
Building 💝 🗧 🦷	Custom	· · · · ·	Test Score	Reset
Adams Elem         Chavez Elem           Jefferson Elem         Kennedy Elem	251			R
Student Gender 🛛 🎘 🎘	ц.		****	y = 0.4069x + 131.48
F M	ਤੇ ਦੁ 101 ਦ			y - 0.40034 + 131.46
Student Grade	51			
Class 炎= ∇	50 60	70 80	90 : CogAT	100 110 120

In the **Washington** building, student # 101001 achieved the highest scores for both Math and Reading and on the *CogAT* Verbal and Quantitative sections

Subject 🐲 🔀	Student CogAT Score	140	Student ID	101001		
Watting         SE         Triangle           Building         SE         Triangle           Montgomery         Quilocy Elem         Stakespeare           Stakespeare         Washington         Stakespeare           Student Gender         SE         Triangle	251		Student Name	FName1001 LName1001	Student ID Student Name	101001 FName1001 LName1001
F     M       Student Grade     SE       2     Subject       Subject     SE       Math     Reading       Building     SE       Montgemeny     Quincy Elem       Subject     Wathgoton	Student CogAT Score Student Achievement Score Custom 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	9 80 50 134 250 Tes	100 110 120 Student ID Student Name t Score	130 340 150 101001 FName1001 LName1001 Reset		As the highest scoring student in an already high scoring building, this student may need
Student Gender	2010 5151 1 50 60 70	80 50	100 110 120	y=0.7737x + 102.96		more challenge, especially in Math

#### You can view tabled data by student by clicking on the Actual vs Expected Score Dashboard

			Actual Score	e Vs Expected 5			
Но	me	CogAT vs Scor	e Dashboard	Actual V	s Expected Score Dashboard		Scores Bell Curves
bject	VE 🔀		Target % Diff			10.0%	
lath	Reading	The below list is for students who achi		er than expected score by targ	rt %		
				List of S			
	. —	Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	🔰 🖌 cted Score	% Diff
idling	¥∃ 🔽	FName71 LName71	100071	71	158	142	11%
uilding 1	Building 10 ^	FName125 LName125	100125	66	162	137	18%
		FName291 LName291	100291	77	173	149	16%
uilding 11	Building 12	FName349 LName349	100349	68	158	139	14%
uilding 12	Puilding 14	FName437 LName437	100437	72	161	143	12%
ident Gender	¥= 📡	FName750 LName750	100750	72	158	143	10%
dent Gender		FName938 LName938	100938	68	167	139	20%
	M	FName1209 LName1209	101209	75	164	147	12%
		FName1288 LName1288	101288	77	169	149	13%
ident Grade	VE 🕅	FName1346 LName1346	101346	73	169	145	17%
	V- 1×	FName1629 LName1629	101629	73	164	145	13%
		FName1855 LName1855	101855	95	207	169	23%
-		FName1954 LName1954	101954	74	17	146	17%
		FName2008 LName2008	102008	65	1	136	11%
ISS	VE 🕅	FName2194 LName2194	102194	77	54	149	10%
		FName2518 LName2518	102518	71	165	142	16%
RADE		FName2596 LName2596	102596	72	158	143	10%
		FName2666 LName2666	102666	59	161	129	25%

- Change the percentage in the blue box to see which students are performing higher or lower than expected based on that group's trend line
- Expectations are created based on the group(s) included in your analysis, and not on national samples of CogAT and achievement

- Like every other display, this sheet will initially default to include data for all students, all subjects, all grades, and all buildings that were loaded in your data – it must be filtered down for the analysis to have meaning.
- Use the filter pane on the lefthand side to restrict your analyses to a <u>single subject</u> area within a <u>single grade</u> to review the trends and differences in student ability and achievement
- Due to differences in scaling, multiple grades and subjects should not be analyzed together

				<u> </u>			
	Home	CogAT vs Scor	e Dashboard	Actual \	/s Expected Score Dashboard		Scores Bell Curves
	✓ <b>-</b> IX		Target % Diff			-10.0%	
Math	Reading	The below list is for students who ach	ieved an actual score less ti	han expected score by target %	6		
				List of S	udents		
	×	Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff
Building	第 🕅	FName66 LName66	100066	91	151	171	-12%
Adams Elem	Chavez Elem 🔥 ^	FName157 LName157	100157	124	175	202	-13%
Leffermen Ele		FName187 LName187	100187	142	191	219	-13%
Jefferson Ele	em Kennedy Elem	FName258 LName258	100258	115	168	194	-13%
Lincoln Flom	Marshall Flom	FName289 LName289	100289	115	167	194	-14%
Student Gend	ler 🏼 🎉 🏹	FName404 LName404	100404	107	164	186	-12%
		FName532 LName532	100532	97	156	177	-12%
F	M	FName557 LName557	100557	127	178	205	-13%
		FName610 LName610	100610	110	169	189	-11%
Student Grade	e 🏼 🎘	FName644 LName644	100644	131	187	208	-10%
		FName699 LName699	100699	131	178	208	-15%
2		FName751 LName751	100751	96	156	176	-11%
		FName869 LName869	100869	121	177	199	-11%
		FName951 LName951	100951	121	172	199	-14%
Class	i se	FName998 LName998	100998	100	156	180	-13%
		FName1105 LName1105	101105	117	175	195	-10%
Amir	Gault Gunde 🛆	FName1148 LName1148	101148	117	173	195	-11%
Joseph .	Juarez Karal	FName1157 LName1157	101157	108	168	187	-10%
Kalk	King Honyin	FName1424 LName1424	101424	100	161	180	-10%

#### **Actual Score Vs Expected Score**

Ho	me	
Subject		第二派
Math	Reading	9
Buidling		結素
Building 1	Building 1	0 🔷
Building 11	Building 1	2
Duduka 19	Duride an	4 ×
Student Gende	r	氣感
F	М	
Student Grade		年 🕵
Class GRADE		結素
Student Ethnic	Group	無限
Asian	Black	^
Hispanic	Islander	
Master	C.H.S.	~
Program Code		<b>第二</b> 条
EL Nor	nEL	

			CogAT vs Te	st Score	
Home		CogAT vs Scare Dashboard		Actual Vs Expected Score Dashboard	Scores Bell Carves
Subject	8.5	ident CogAT Score	115	Student ID	Wrong Entry
Math Reading		nt Achievement Score	164	Student Name	Wrong Entry
Duiding	E T	stom		Test Score	Reset
Building 1 Building 1				0	0
Duking 11 Duking 12	2			and the state of the	dia tan
Student Gender	6 X 1		أأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأأ		vi1920+ 22.8
Statest Gender	0		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Hillioutotototo	y=1.0702x+29.26
	Ţ 2,				
Student Grade	<u>8 %</u>				
2			10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
Class	8.5	~	90	110 1.0 CogAT	150 170
GRADE .	o	Min X axis	50	Min Y Asis	100
		Max X Axis	130	Max Y Axis	200
Student Ethnic Group	8.5				
Arian Black	^				
Hopenio blander					
Program Code	8.5				
EL NorEL					

Configure your data view by using the filter pane on the left:

- Subject\* (Achievement score)
- Building
- Class
- Gender
- Ethnic Group
- Grade\*
- Program Code

\* Use the filter pane to choose <u>only ONE</u> <u>Grade</u> and <u>ONE Subject</u> to display at a time

- Now that the sheet is filtered to one subject area and one grade level, student scores can be examined relative to the local trend
- Looking at 2<sup>nd</sup> grade Math across all buildings, the sheet produces a list of students whose Math scores are higher than the district trend predicts based on student *CogAT* Quantitative and Math scores

			Actual Score	Vs Expected S	core		
Home		CogAT vs Score Dashboard		Actual V	Actual Vs Expected Score Dashboard		Scores Bell Curves
ubject	<u>≈ 7</u>		Target % Diff			10.0%	
Math	Reading	The below list is for students who ach	ieved an actual score greate	er than expected score by targ	rt %		
		Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff
uilding	¥≡ 🔽	FName154 LName154	100154	103	204	183	12%
Adams Elem	Chavez Elem	FName307 LName307	100307	110	212	190	11%
		FName355 LName355	100355	102	200	181	10%
Jefferson Elem	Kennedy Elem	FName744 LName744	100744				
Lincoln Flom	Marchall Flom	FName834 LName834	100834	131	239	213	12%
udent Gender	¥≡ 🔽	FName1001 LName1001	101001	140	249	223	12%
		FName1130 LName1130	101130	93	192	172	12%
F	м	FName1209 LName1209	101209	73	170	150	14%
		FName1446 LName1446	101446	109	210	189	11%
tudent Grade	i se	FName1528 LName1528	101528	130	242	212	14%
		FName2008 LName2008	102008	62	154	138	12%
2		FName2264 LName2264	102264	99	206	178	16%
		FName2281 LName2281	102281	62	152	138	11%
		FName2363 LName2363	102363	110	218	190	15%
lass	¥≡ 🔨	EName2590 LName2590	102590	89	184	167	10%
Amir Gault Gunde ^		CogAT Score	Act	tual Score	Expect	ed Score	% C
		103		204	1	83	12
		110		212	190		11
		102		200	1	81	10
		59		154	1	34	15
		29		154	1	.54	13

### Now that the sheet is filtered to one subject area and one grade level, student scores can be examined relative to the local trend

Hom	ne	CogAT vs Score Da	ashboard	Actual Vs Ex	pected Score Dashboard		Scores Bell Curves
Subject	<i>≋</i>	Та	arget % Diff			10.0%	
Math	Reading	The below list is for students who achieve	d an actual score greater	than expected score by target %	6		
				List of Sude	ents		
	<i></i>	Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff
Building	VE 🔀	FName154 LName154	100154	103	204	183	12%
Adams Elem	Chavez Elem	FName307 LName307	100307	110	212	190	11%
		FName355 LName355	100355	102	200	181	10%
Jefferson Elem	Kennedy Elem	FName744 LName744	100744	59	154	134	15%
Lincoln Flom	Marshall Flom	FName834 LName834	100834	131	239	213	12%
Student Gender	¥≡ ∏≍	FName1001 LName1001	101001	140	249	223	12%
		FName1130 LName1130	101130	93	192	172	12%
F	Μ	FName1209 LName1209	101209	73	170	150	14%
		FName1446 LName1446	101446	109	210	189	11%
Student Grade	¥∃ ∏≍	FName1528 LName1528	101528	130	242	212	14%
	<b>~</b> - IX	FName2008 LName2008	102008	62	154	138	12%
2		FName2264 LName2264	102264	99	206	178	16%
		FName2281 LName2281	102281	62	152	138	11%
		FName2363 LName2363	102363	110	218	190	15%
Class	i se	FName2590 LName2590	102590	89	184	167	10%
		FName2666 LName2666	102666	65	156	141	11%
Amir Gault	Gunde ^						
Building	¥Ξ	Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff
Building	~=		100125	93	152	172	-11%
Adams Eler	m Chavez Elem	<ul> <li>FName322 LName322</li> </ul>	100322	105	162	185	-12%
Jefferson E	Elem Kennedy Elem	FName1357 LName1357	101357	116	174	197	-12%
		FName1712 LName1712	101712	101	153	180	-15%
Lincoln Flor	m Marchall Flom	FName1833 LName1833	101833	99	160	178	-10%
Student Ger	nder ∛⊟	FName2106 LName2106	102106	100	161	179	-10%
		FName2485 LName2485	102485	91	152	169	-10%
F	м	FName2527 LName2527	102527	105	164	185	-11%
		FName2607 LName2607	102607	109	168	189	-11%
Student Gra	ade ∛≡	T <u>x</u>					
2							

#### **Actual Score Vs Expected Score**

 Inputting a positive percentage in the blue box displays students who had higher than expected achievement based on their CogAT score and the group's Math trend

	Target % Diff			20.0%			
The below list is for students who achieved an actual score greater than expected score by target %							
List of Suden*							
Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff		
FName938 LName938	100938	68	167	139	20%		
FName1855 LName1855	101855	95	207	169	23%		

	Target % Diff		-15.0%				
The below list is for students who achieved an actual score less than expected score by target %							
List of Suden s							
Student Name	Student ID	CogAT Score	Actual Score	Expected Score	% Diff		
FName1712 LName1712	101712	101	153	181	-16%		

20.0%

- Don't forget to name your files carefully and save your work frequently
- Use file names that help you identify which data are contained therein
- Your comments and feedback are welcome!